IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 6287 OF 2006

M/s. Arya Omnitalk Wireless
Solution Pvt. Ltd. .....Petitioner.

V/s

1. Brihan Mumbai Mahanagar Palika
and anr. ....Respondents.

Shri A.P. Paralikar with Ms. Mandira Moitra for the Petitioner.

Shri A.J. Bhor for the respondents.

CORAM: R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
V. M. KANADE, JJ.

DATE : 20th September, 2006

P.C.:

Undisputedly, the commercial bid was also opened in November, 2005 and the petitioner was informed by letter dated 16/12/2005 about rejection of his tender document. Merely because the petitioner has made some representation in December, 2005 and in July, 2006, there is no justification for interference in the matter without proper explanation regarding laches on the part of the petitioner in approaching the Court. Once it is clear that the tender

was opened in November, 2005 and the work order was issued immediately thereafter, the question of interference in writ jurisdiction nine months after such allotment of tender does not arise. Hence, the Petition is rejected.

(R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.)

(V. M. KANADE, J.)